SAMPLE ONLY - NOT FOR USE - CONSULT WITH AN ATTORNEY

STATE OF ILLINOIS IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SEVENTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT COUNTY OF WINNEBAGO

IN RE THE MARRIAGE OF:	
BOB ANDERSON,)
Plaintiff,) Case No. 2012 D
and)
JILL ANDERSON,)
Defendant.)

JUDGMENT FOR DISSOLUTION OF MARRIAGE AND REMAINING ISSUES

THIS CAUSE coming on to be heard this 23rd day of November, 2012, on the Petition for Dissolution of Marriage filed herein by the Plaintiff and Response of the Defendant thereto; the Plaintiff, BOB ANDERSON, appearing in Court in person, and the Defendant, JILL ANDERSON, appearing in Court in person and with her attorney, ATTORNEY XYZ, and the Court being fully advised in the premises, FINDS:

- 1. That the Plaintiff and Defendant are both residents of the County of Winnebago and State of Illinois and this Court has jurisdiction over the parties and the subject matter of the action.
- 2. That the Plaintiff is sixty-two years of age, is employed as a Supervisor at ABC Company, and continually resided at 4567 Somewhere Lane in the State of Illinois for more than ninety days.

SAMPLE ONLY - NOT FOR USE - CONSULT WITH AN ATTORNEY

- 3. That the Defendant is sixty years of age, employed as a Pilot at XYZ, LLC, and has continually resided at 789 Somewhere Avenue, Rockford, in the State of Illinois for more than ninety days.
- 4. That the parties were married on January 5, 2000, in Rockford, Illinois. Said marriage was registered in Winnebago County, Illinois.
- 5. That no children have been born as a result of said marriage, no children were adopted by the parties and the Plaintiff is not now pregnant.
- 6. That irreconcilable differences have arisen between the parties as a result of which they have lived separate and apart continuously for a period in excess of six months next preceding the entry of this judgment and the parties having waived the two year separation requirement; efforts at reconciliation have failed and future efforts would be impracticable and not in the best interests of the family.
- 7. That each party has waived his or her right to claim maintenance from the other forever.
- 8. That the 48 hour bifurcated requirement set forth in the statute is not applicable because all matters have been resolved.
- 9. That the parties hereto have entered into a written Marital Settlement Agreement wherein the parties did settle all of their mutual rights and obligations concerning all of their property and concerning their marital status subject to the approval of this Court, which marital settlement agreement was reduced to writing and executed by the parties and exhibited and explained to the Court and the Court specifically approves the agreement and finds the agreement is fair and equitable and is not unconscionable; marked Exhibit A and introduced into

SAMPLE ONLY – NOT FOR USE – CONSULT WITH AN ATTORNEY

evidence and incorporated herein by reference as though its terms were set forth in this

judgment.

10. Plaintiff desires to resume the use of her former name, JILL JOHNSON.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:

A. That the bonds of matrimony between the Plaintiff, BOB ANDERSON, and the

Defendant, JILL ANDERSON, be and are hereby dissolved and a judgment of dissolution of

marriage is hereby awarded to Plaintiff and Defendant.

B. That the 48 hour bifurcated requirement set forth in the statute is not applicable all

matters being resolved.

C. That the Marital Settlement Agreement heretofore entered into between the

parties, marked as Exhibit A be, and the same is hereby, approved and incorporated herein by

reference. That the agreement is fair and equitable.

D. Except as herein agreed and by the aforesaid Marital Settlement Agreement

otherwise provided, each of the parties is forever barred and foreclosed from maintenance,

homestead, and from any and all other rights, claims, or demands whatsoever in and to the

property of the other now owned or hereafter acquired.

E. The Defendant, JILL ANDERSON, is granted the use of her former name, JILL

JOHNSON.

F. The Court reserves jurisdiction for the enforcement of this order.

Dated: November 23, 2012

ENTER:

Judge

PREPARED BY: ATTORNEY XYZ